

PEER JUDGING

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE DISTRICT PROJECT SCHOLARSHIPS (STUDENT JUDGE)

Student Judge _____

Participant _____

Please circle the descriptors in each category that best describes the project and provide comments on the back.

	9 -10 POINTS EXCELLENT	7 – 8.5 POINTS VERY GOOD	5 - 6.5 POINTS COMPETENT	3 - 4.5 POINTS NOVICE
TECHNICAL SKILL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • skill level of candidate is exceptional • project is fully completed and executed – technically challenging • knowledge of techniques is of a superior level • research is exceptional 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • skill level is good but more attention could be placed on some details • project is completed in all areas • knowledge of techniques is at a very good level • research is very good 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • skill level is average with little attention to details • project is mostly completed in all areas • knowledge of techniques is at a satisfactory level • research is satisfactory 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • skill level is adequate but the finish is definitely lacking • project is somewhat completed in most areas • knowledge of techniques is at a minimum level • research is poor
INNOVATION, CREATIVITY & PERSONAL GROWTH	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • project demonstrates innovation, creativity & personal growth • project demonstrates a high level of originality • process clearly demonstrates extensive exploration, demanding challenges and refocusing • journal provides detailed evidence of personal initiative and creativity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • project shows some innovation, creativity & personal growth • project demonstrates some originality • process demonstrates exploration, challenges and refocusing • journal provides some evidence of personal initiative and creativity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • project shows little innovation, creativity & personal growth • project demonstrates little originality • process demonstrates some exploration, challenges and refocusing • journal provides little evidence of personal initiative and creativity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • project shows no innovation, creativity &/or personal growth • project demonstrates no originality • process demonstrates little exploration, challenges and refocusing • journal provides no evidence of personal initiative and creativity

COMMENTS

Strengths:

Areas for further exploration:
